

CRICOS PROVIDER 00123M

Daniel Searson (presenting) and Robert Anderson

#### Potential effectiveness of seat belt interlocks

adelaide.edu.au



## Background

- Adelaide Thinker in Residence (2010): Professor Fred Wegman
  - Recommendation to aim for 100% restraint use
  - Investigate seat belt interlocks as part of a New Vehicle Technologies Forum
- SA Road Safety Action Plan 2011-2012:

#### Technology

- Establish a Road Safety Technologies Forum to lead, coordinate and investigate feasibility trials of the following technologies: (RAA, MAC, CASR & DTEI)
  - > dedicated short range communication systems
  - > seatbelt interlocks
  - > e-call technology for automated alert of emergency services to rural and remote crashes.



**Government of South Australia** 

Department of Planning, Transport and Infrastructure

## The problem

- In seat belt surveys: ~2% unrestrained
- In fatal accidents: ~30% unrestrained
- Many contributing factors
- Unrestrained → restrained can halve the risk of fatality or serious injury



#### Seat belt interlocks

- Vehicle cannot be started unless seat belt is buckled
- Less stringent systems may:
  - delay engine start
  - restrict gears
  - restrict speed
- Can use existing hardware from seat belt reminders





#### US 1974 experience

- Seat belt interlocks were mandatory in the US in 1974
- Law was repealed following widespread public backlash
- Belt usage went from 25% to 59% in MY1974 vehicles





#### Aims

- To estimate the potential future effectiveness of a mandatory seat belt interlock requirement
- Account for model turn over and the vehicle age profile of unrestrained drivers

#### Method

- Determined vehicle age profile of unrestrained drivers
- Assumed a linear introduction rate of interlocks into new vehicles between 2015 and 2010
- Calculated the year-by-year casualty reductions

#### Method: effectiveness estimate

Casualty reduction for a given year

Proportion of injured drivers who would usually be unrestrained

=

Х

Proportion of otherwise unrestrained drivers who are in interlock equipped vehicles

#### Х

50% seat belt effectiveness at preventing a fatality or serious injury (Elvik, 2009)

Х

95% seat belt interlock effectiveness at converting unrestrained to restrained (assumed)

#### Method: data sources

• Three sources of **restraint use** and **vehicle age** data:

Injury severity	Period	Data source	<b>Restraint use</b>
None	2009	Observational study in rural and metro SA, matched to vehicle registration data	98%
Hospital admitted (but not fatal)	2008-2010	Hospital admission data from the Royal Adelaide Hospital, matched to police reported crash data	89%
Fatal	2008	South Australian Coroner's files	66%

• Notes: we only considered drivers

## Results: vehicle age by restraint use

	Mean vehicle age		
Injury severity	All drivers	Restrained drivers	Unrestrained drivers
None	9.99	9.94	12.62
Hospital admitted (but not fatal)	12.66	12.05	16.32
Fatal	13.09	11.93	15.50

# Results: restraint non-usage by vehicle age



# Results: how many otherwise unrestrained drivers will be in interlock equipped vehicles?



## Results: casualty reductions due to interlocks



#### Key results

- Maximum benefit achieved by 2050
  - 16% reduction in fatalities
  - 5% reduction in casualties requiring hospital admission
- The situation may be very different by then!
- Shorter term by 2030:
  - 7% reduction in fatalities
  - 2% reduction in casualties requiring hospital admission

#### Notes on results

- Casualty reductions are on top of those already achieved through other means
- Vehicle age profile was assumed constant
- Constant restraint use was assumed in the absence of interlocks
  - Seat belt reminders may accelerate casualty savings
  - Increased education, awareness, social norms

#### Recommendations

- There are strong potential benefits to seat belt interlocks, but benefits may take years to be seen (like with any new vehicle technology)
- Even if benefits are not as great as predicted, the installation of interlocks should be encouraged
  - Low cost
  - After market installation?
  - Target high risk users

#### Acknowledgements

• This study was funded by the South Australian Department of Planning, Transport and Infrastructure (DPTI) through a Project Grant to the Centre for Automotive Safety Research. The Centre for Automotive Safety Research is supported by both the South Australian Department of Planning, Transport and Infrastructure and the South Australian Motor Accident Commission. The views expressed in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of the University of Adelaide or the funding organisations.



#### **Government of South Australia**

Department of Planning, Transport and Infrastructure

